After the Pile

Mill Site Futures Alternatives
Public Meeting
September 18, 2013

www.moabtailings.org/sfc.htm
Site Futures Committee

- Norm Boyd, At-Large
- Krissie Braun, Grand County
- Sabrina Henry, NPS
- Jason Johnson, Utah FFSL
- Donna Metzler, City of Moab
- Saxon Sharpe, At-Large & Vice Chair
- Rock Smith, BLM
- Russ von Koch, At-Large & Chair
- Lee Shenton, UMTRA Liaison (non-voting)
What We’ll Do Tonight

• **Review the Public Input Process**  (10 mins)
• **Discuss Four Alternatives** (40 mins)
  – Restoration & Trails
  – Community Park
  – Commercial Use
  – Combined Use
• **Alternatives Review Exercise and Summary** (40 mins)
• **Wrap-Up — What’s Next** (10 mins)
WHAT: Develop initial community vision for future public uses of Moab UMTRA mill site

WHEN: Recommend vision to Steering Committee and Council by end of 2013, then periodic updates

WHY: Participate in planning for potential community asset, support funding appeals and enable possible DOE accommodation of community vision if within DOE scope

WHO: Citizens and Agencies provide guidance, Site Futures Committee manages process
Timeline

- **November, 2012 - Chartered by Steering Committee**
- **May 15th Workshop** – gathered initial suggestions for community vision, first comment period began.
- **Tonight** – four alternatives presented, second comment period begins.
- **By December** – present preferred alternative to Steering Committee, County and City Councils.
- **2014 to about 2025** – initial vision updated with additional public and agency input.
- **About 2025?** – DOE determines whether to transfer site.
Suggestions Received

• Comments and suggestions received from about sixty citizens included

  – Concert/Event venue
  – Shuttle hub for Arches NP
  – Community park, boat ramp, skating rink
  – Fitness, Recreation and nature trails
  – Commercial development (such as a resorts)
  – Combined Federal Center
  – Sports fields, golf course
  – Clean Energy facility (wind, solar)
Four Alternatives

• SFC integrated suggestions and agency input into Alternatives
  – Restoration & Trails
  – Community Park
  – Commercial Use
  – Combined Use

• Energy self-sufficiency used in all alternatives
Common to All Alternatives

DRAFT
Restoration and Trails
Questions & Answers

• **Before we start the Alternatives Review Exercise, do you have any questions?**
Comment on Alternatives

• **Evaluate and rank each alternative using the REVIEW sheet (last page of your handout)**

• **Write your top five comments, one each on sticky note, and place on appropriate map**
Working Together

• If someone else has already put a sticky note up matching your comment, just put one of your STARS on their note

• Feel free to discuss ideas with others but this process works better if you AVOID CRITICIZING other comments
Summarizing Comments

• **Summary of your comments** (on a flip chart)
• **Opportunity to explain your evaluation and comments**
• **Once again, this process works better if you avoid criticizing other ideas**
Wrap-Up

• Please leave your REVIEW sheet at the Sign-In table before you leave

• SFC will solicit additional public and agency input (most helpful if received by October 18th)

• SFC will integrate all available input into a preferred alternative

• SFC will present the preferred alternative to public sessions of the Steering Committee, County Council and City Council before year-end.
Thank You

• Please leave your comment form at the sign-in table

• You can submit additional comments by October 18th
  – Online Suggestion Form at www.moabtailings.org/sfc.htm
  – E-mail to UMTRA Liaison at lshenton@grandcountyutah.net
  – Phone UMTRA Liaison at 259-1795